From: Lutenski, Leigh (ECN)

Sent: Thursday, June 27, 2019 4:17 PM To: Michael Ahrens; jon winston

Cc: Robert Muehlbauer; Amy O'Hair; Maurice Rivers; bdavila@ccsf.edu; Howard N. Chung; Mark

Tang; Christy Godinez; Nickolopoulos, Sheila (CPC); Exline, Susan (CPC)

Subject: RE: BRCAC - September meeting

Hi BRCACers,

I am following up to provide a little more information about the meeting date reschedule request. We are rescheduling the CAC meeting based on standard City policy. It is our protocol to reschedule any public meetings that may have occurred during Draft EIR comment periods. As a reminder, there will be an official Draft EIR public hearing at the Planning Commission on September 12th, so oral testimony is still available. This protocol allows the Planning Department to ensure that all comments received during this period can be adequately recorded and responded to. CAC members and the public are encouraged to comment on the EIR and can do so at any time during the comment period.

The EIR looks only at the environmental impacts of the proposed project and variants, it does not constitute a project approval action nor is it a final step in the project approval process. The CAC may certainly still provide comments on the project outside of the Draft EIR period.

Thank you for your continued engagement in this project. I'll work with Jon to finalize a new date for the meeting once we receive your feedback. We will be sending a newsletter to the large public email group to advise the public about the Draft EIR dates and new CAC date, and we will be sure to clearly identify how public comments on the Draft EIR can be submitted.

Thanks again, Leigh

From: Michael Ahrens [mailto:mikeahrens5@gmail.com]

**Sent:** Wednesday, June 26, 2019 4:43 PM **To:** jon winston < jwinstonsf@gmail.com>

**Cc:** Robert Muehlbauer <rmuehlbauer@live.com>; Amy O'Hair <sunnyside.balboa.reservoir@gmail.com>; Maurice Rivers <jumpstreet1983@gmail.com>; bdavila@ccsf.edu; Howard N. Chung <hnchung@yahoo.com>; Mark Tang <tang.mark@gmail.com>; Christy Godinez <cgodinez@lwhs.org>; Lutenski, Leigh (ECN) <leigh.lutenski@sfgov.org>; Nickolopoulos, Sheila (CPC) <sheila.nickolopoulos@sfgov.org>; Exline, Susan (CPC) <susan.exline@sfgov.org>

Subject: Re: BRCAC - September meeting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I will limit my reply to logistical committee issues concerning meetings and meeting dates. For a long time we have set September 9 as a meeting date and I have cleared that date and the date in December. I personally have problems on the other suggested dates and do not feel that we should change the dates that were set a long time ago. As you suggested, Jon, I will address in a separate email to you the topic that I discussed on the record at the last meeting that needs to be addressed on September 9, and not be delayed.

Thank you.

Sent from my iPad

On Jun 26, 2019, at 4:31 PM, jon winston < jwinstonsf@gmail.com > wrote:

Please keep your replies limited to me on topics other than logistical committee issues. We want to about violation the sunshine laws.

Jon

On Wed, Jun 26, 2019, 3:34 PM Michael Ahrens <mikeahrens5@gmail.com> wrote:

I agree. I will expand later.

Mike Ahrens

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 26, 2019, at 2:59 PM, robert muehlbauer < rmuehlbauer@live.com > wrote:

Perhaps the BRCAC can summarize our input as a committee with a letter and resolution to the Planning Commission followed by the Chair giving an outline of the CAC's comments at the actual hearing.

Something about the attached message bothers me. If we're not having our comments addressed in the EIR then just what are we doing?

Get Outlook for Android

From: jon winston < jwinstonsf@gmail.com > Sent: Wednesday, June 26, 2019 12:32:17 PM

**To:** Amy O'Hair; Michael Ahrens; Maurice Rivers; <a href="mailto:bdavila@ccsf.edu">bdavila@ccsf.edu</a>; Robert Muehlbauer; Howard N. Chung; Mark Tang; jonathan winston; Christy Godinez;

Lutenski, Leigh (ECN); Nickolopoulos, Sheila (CPC); Exline, Susan (CPC)

Subject: BRCAC - September meeting & annual report

Hello, BRCACers!

Leigh sends the following message about the date for the release of the DEIR and a couple of proposed dates for our next meeting in September. Please Let me know your preference for when to meet.

Also, be advised that the links to the audio recordings of our last meeting have been fixed

Have a great summer!

--Jon Winston

415-935-1422

## <u>September CAC Meeting Date – Reschedule</u>

We have finalized the Draft EIR schedule for the project. The Draft EIR is anticipated to be released on Wednesday, August 7<sup>th</sup>. There will be a 45-day public comment period between August 8<sup>th</sup> and September 23<sup>rd</sup>, during which the public may submit comments on the Draft EIR to the Planning Department by mail or email. There will be an official public hearing at the Planning Commission on Thursday, September 12<sup>th</sup> during which the public can submit oral testimony on the Draft EIR.

We would like to request that we reschedule the date of our planned September CAC meeting on September 9<sup>th</sup> because it falls within the middle of this Draft EIR comment period. The CAC meeting is not an official forum for collecting comments on the Draft EIR, so comments made at the CAC meeting will not be addressed in the CEQA analysis. We do not want to create any avoidable confusion for the public on this point, so we advise moving the meeting date to after the Draft EIR comment period closes. We suggest a new date of Monday, October 7<sup>th</sup>. Alternatively, we could hold the meeting on Monday, September 30<sup>th</sup>. We have confirmed availability for both dates with all City staff and the project sponsor team.